H. R. 2609

To make a regulatory correction concerning methyl bromide to meet the obligations of the Montreal Protocol without placing the farmers of the United States at a competitive disadvantage versus foreign growers.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

October 6, 1997

Mr. Miller of Florida (for himself, Mr. Condit, Mr. Pombo, Mr. Thomas, Mr. Canady of Florida, Mr. Bishop, and Mrs. Thurman) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To make a regulatory correction concerning methyl bromide to meet the obligations of the Montreal Protocol without placing the farmers of the United States at a competitive disadvantage versus foreign growers.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. FINDINGS.
- 4 Congress finds the following:
- 5 (1) Methyl bromide is a broad spectrum pes-
- 6 ticide which protects plants and agricultural prod-

- ucts from a wide range of insects, rodents, viruses,
 fungi, weeds, and nematodes.
 - (2) American farmers depend on methyl bromide to grow, store, ship, process, and trade over 100 different crops.
 - (3) The agricultural community has no safe, effective, commercially available alternatives to methyl bromide. Some nonchemical pest control alternatives have proven effective in small scale tests but are largely untested, much less proven, for commercial food production purposes. The Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic Substances reports that few substitutes exist, all of which pose potential human health and environmental risks.
 - (4) In 1992, the Montreal Protocol on Substances Depleting the Ozone Layer was amended to include methyl bromide.
 - (5) According to the 1992 Science Assessment Report to the Montreal Protocol, agricultural use of methyl bromide accounts for less than 3 percent of the threat to the ozone layer, and a similar report issued in 1994 notes that the Earth's ozone layer will return to normal by the middle of the next cen-

- tury even if methyl bromide remains available to
 farmers.
- 3 (6) In 1993, despite the importance of methyl 4 bromide, the lack of alternatives, and many scientific 5 uncertainties, the Environmental Protection Agency, 6 citing the Montreal Protocol, listed methyl bromide 7 as an ozone depleting chemical under the provisions 8 of the Clean Air Act and ordered United States pro-9 duction frozen at 1991 levels and an end to produc-10 tion by January 1, 2001.
- 11 (7) Given current alternatives, analysis at the 12 University of Florida predicts a 43 percent decline 13 in affected vegetable acreage in Florida. A 1993 14 United States Department of Agriculture study finds 15 that the ban will cost as much as \$1,500,000,000 in 16 Florida, Georgia, California, North Carolina, and 17 South Carolina, the 5 States where methyl bromide 18 is most utilized.

19 SEC. 2. CONTROL OF METHYL BROMIDE.

- 20 (a) Definitions.—For purposes of this section:
- 21 (1) The term "use as a pesticide" includes 22 farming and post-harvest uses.
- (2) The term "pesticide" has the same meaning
 as when used in the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide,
 and Rodenticide Act.

- 1 (3) The term "control" means, with respect to 2 any substance, any ban, phase-out, or other restric-3 tion on the production, importation, export, con-4 sumption, or use of the substance.
- 5 (4) The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.
- 7 (b) RESTRICTIONS ON EPA AUTHORITY.—Except as 8 provided in subsection (c) or (d), the Administrator may 9 not—
- 10 (1) control the production, importation, or ex-11 port of the substance methyl bromide pursuant to 12 title VI of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. section 13 7671–7671q) for consumption or use as a pesticide;
- 14 (2) control the consumption or use of methyl 15 bromide as a pesticide; or
- (3) require the labelling of any agriculturalproduct treated with methyl bromide.
- 18 (c) EXISTENCE OF SUBSTITUTES OR ALTER19 NATIVES.—The Administrator may take any action de20 scribed in paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of subsection (b), or
 21 any combination of such actions, if the Secretary of Agri-
- 22 culture has certified by rule that there exist viable, cost-
- 23 effective substitutes or other alternatives to the consump-
- 24 tion or use of methyl bromide as a pesticide for specified
- 25 agricultural commodities and products. If the Secretary

- 1 has made a certification under this paragraph, a control
- 2 permitted pursuant to such certification shall apply only
- 3 with respect to those specified applications and to those
- 4 specified commodities and products for which the certifi-
- 5 cation is made.
- 6 (d) Montreal Protocol.—The Administrator may
- 7 take any action described in paragraph (1), (2), or (3)
- 8 of subsection (b), or any combination of such actions, if
- 9 the United States is required by the Montreal Protocol
- 10 to implement a control on the production, importation, or
- 11 export of methyl bromide for consumption or use as a pes-
- 12 ticide or a control on the consumption or use of methyl
- 13 bromide as a pesticide. The applicability, contents and
- 14 timing of any such control—
- 15 (1) shall be no more stringent or restrictive
- than specifically required by the Montreal Protocol,
- 17 (2) shall be equally required of all parties to the
- 18 Montreal Protocol; and
- 19 (3) shall include all exemptions, exceptions, and
- other flexibility (including exemptions for produc-
- 21 tion, importation, export, and consumption, for both
- preshipment and quarantine uses) allowed by the
- 23 Montreal Protocol.
- 24 (e) Inconsistent EPA Actions.—All rules, stand-
- 25 ards and other regulatory actions promulgated, published,

- 1 or otherwise issued by the Administrator of the Environ-
- 2 mental Protection Agency before the date of enactment
- 3 of this Act are repealed to the extent they impose a control
- 4 which is not specifically required by the Montreal Protocol.
- 5 (f) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this Act shall be
- 6 construed to affect the provisions of 40 C.F.R. §§ 82.9,
- 7 82.10, 82.11, and 82.12 (relating to Article 5 parties and
- 8 transfers), or any other regulatory provisions granting ex-
- 9 emptions, exceptions, or other flexibility not prohibited by
- 10 the Montreal Protocol.

 \bigcirc